GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS. Scott. II-26-60,

Revenue (1952) Department.

Endorsement
D.O.
Memorandum
Lodged

No. 19269 A/52-1

Dated

FURTHER PAPERS.

Number.	Series.	Date.

FOR MYSORE STATE

Endt. X.N. Dis. No.

Governor's Secretariat,
Raj Bhavan,
Dated : 31.12.1968

Transferred to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Madras 9, for disposal. The communication has been acknowledged.

(By order of H.E. the Governor)

for SECRETARY TO H.E. THE GOVERNOR.



To

His Excellency the Governor

of Madras.

Humble petition of U.Subbayya Hegde, Son of Kumarayya Hegde, of Ujire Village, Puttur Taluk, South Kanara District, post Ujire.

Most Gracious Excellency,

Ref: enclosed: Proceedings of the Collector of South Kanara-D.Dis.19372/51 and (B.P.Rt. — 8299 of 3.12.51 dated, 31.8.1951 of the Board of Revenue, Madras.)

In reference to the above, I most humbly beg to place before your Excellency, fully confident of justice being rendered to my case at your Excellency's hands that I am a landless man with family to support and that as such has occupied a bit of land to the extent of about 20 cents, ... ly a Revenue Waste land in S.No. 214/2 in Ujire Village, South Kanara District only after consulting the then Deputy Tahsildar of Beltangady and making sure that the bit of land is in no way objectionable for assignment. Vide D.R.90/58 of H. Tahsildar, Bel

2. Subsequent Deputy Tahsildars influenced by the local vested interests turned down my petition and I had to appeal even to the Board of Revenue, Madras. Since all the appellate authorities had to manipulate on the materials provided basically by the local Revenue Inspector and the Deputy Tahsildar which had always its colours and prejudices resulted in my appeals being turned down through out in spite of my request to the District Collector to hold a --- personal inspection of the plot. The enquiries, throughout had been one sided and partial.

in connection with the points of objections supplied by the local Revenue Inspector and the Deputy Tahsildar and held by the District Collector as final in dismissing my case, as that it might kindly be verified personally by the Collector or better through a Commission.

4. I beg to submit that some big people of the locality who are the sole monopolists of the roadsides are at the root of all the mischief and the local officials are being played against a poor man like myself. Further, I would also like to point out. your benign Excellency a couple of instances of assignments having been made just on the Dharmastal Road-side leaving a road margin of only 50 links or so, very near the High school and Basic school at Ujire. The above assignments were made in favour of the potail of this village and one Honnayya Shetty in the year 1951 and more than anything else what is to be noted is that both of them are having enough of landed property. When there are such cases, it is indeed a matter for pity that a humble and genuine request for an assignment of similar nature by a landless man like me should have been turned down by all the revenue authorites from top to bottom.

5. Finally, I earnestly beseech your Excellency to kindly order for a personal enquiry by the District Collector himself in the matter to enable me to have my say personally and to point out how I have been most unjustly wronged by the local officials and how they have favoured questions of assignments. Till such time I request your Excellency to order stay the matter.

For which act of kindness, I shall ever pray.

Your most gracious Excellency

Ujire (S. Kanara)
Dated 26/3/52. 26/3/52.

U S Subbaya Bangera
Petitioner.

Enclosures only three.

(True copy)

Proceedings of the Collector, S.K.
Sri A.Rajaratnam, B.A.
D.Dis.19372/51, dated 31.8.1951.



Encroachment: Land - Puttur Taluk - Beltangady Sub-Taluk-Ujire Village - S.No. 214/2 - eviction - appeal dismissed.

Read: 1. From: Sri U.Subbayya Hegde, petition dated. 27.6.1951 and 12. 7.1951.

2. Puttur Revenue Divisional Officer's No.Dis. 6080/51 dated 1-8-1951.

This is an appeal by Sri U.Subbayya Hegde of Ujire Village against the order dated. 16.4.1951 of the Revenue Divisional Officer, Puttur, under section 6 of the Land Encroachment Act in B.M.R. 11/59 of the Deputy Tahsildar, Beltangady directing the eviction of the encroachment in S.No. 214/2 of Ujire Village in Beltangady Sub-Taluk.

I have myself carefully gone through the records. The encroachment lies within 33 links from the centre of the Ujire Dharmastala Road running through S.No.214/2 of Ujire Village. This road leads to Dharmastala, a piligrim centre which is growing in importance. There is a High School and a basic school close by. There is also a bus-stand within a short distance. The petitioner has sold his house site plot and encroached upon this Government land. He has proceeded with the encroachment in spite of notice. The encroachment is deliberate and is objectionable. The other encroachments similarily situated have been ordered to be evicted. There is no case for my preferrential treatment in the case of the appellant. The appeal is dismissed.

Sd. A.R. Rajaratnam, B.A.
Collector, S.Kanara.

Sd. Huzur Shrishtagar
4.9

To

The appellant Sri U.Subbayya Hegde
S/O Ujire Kumarayya Hegde,
of Sri Sadhashiva Indian Medical
Dispensary, Ujire Village, Puttur Taluk.

The Dy.Tahsildar Beltangady with BMR 11/59.
The Revenue Divisional Officer, Puttur.

Rev. Dept

Please see Memo. No. 19269 A/S 2.1 dt. 7.4.52

Encroachment - Land - (South Kanara) -
Puttur Taluk - Uyre village - S. No. 214/2 - Eviction.

From Sri U. Subbayya Hegde, petition dated 26.3.52

The orders in Govt. Memo No. 86012 A/51-2 Rev
dated 17.3.52 will not be reconsidered. The petition
is rejected.

7/4/52

5/4/52.

4.52

To
Sri U. Subbayya Hegde
Uyire village
Puttur Taluk
S. Kanara Dt.
(with 4-5 copies)

Pl. see the petition nnpp 1-2 CF.

It is addressed to HE. The request contained therein has already been examined in Consultation with the Bd of Rev. and the Collr along with the connected records. For the reasons stated in the Dept's note at B nnp. 3 NF of (A) it was considered that no interference was called for and the petition was informed accordingly.

In the circumstances the petition in the CF may be rejected. The draft at Leaf 3 is submitted

7/4/52

4/5/52

OK

7/4/52